

AdS/CFT correspondence and coincident D-6-branes

Sergei V. Ketov

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Hannover
Appelstraße 2, 30167 Hannover, Germany

Abstract: A relation between confinement and Maldacena conjecture is briefly discussed. The gauge symmetry enhancement for two coincident D-6-branes is analyzed from the viewpoint of the hypermultiplet low-energy effective action given by the N=2 supersymmetric non-linear sigma-model with the Eguchi-Hanson (ALE) target space.

The most attractive mechanism of color confinement in QCD is known to be the dual (type II) superconductivity, i.e. a creation of color-electric fluxes (or strings) having quarks at their ends [1, 2]. The usual type-II superconductivity (i.e. the confinement of magnetic charges) is known to be a solution to the standard Landau-Ginzburg theory, whereas the QCD confinement is supposed to be a non-perturbative solution to a (1+3)-dimensional quantum $SU(N_c)$ gauge field theory with $N_c = 3$.

The formal proof of the confinement in QCD amounts to a derivation of the area law for a Wilson loop $W[C]$. It may be based on the following ‘string’ Ansatz [3]:

$$W[C] \sim \int_{\substack{\text{surfaces } B, \\ \partial B = C}} \exp(-S_{\text{string}}) \quad . \quad (1)$$

Eq. (1) clearly shows that the effective degrees of freedom (or collective coordinates) in QCD at strong coupling (in the infra-red) are strings whose worldsheets are given by surfaces B and whose dynamics is governed by (still unknown) action S_{string} . The fundamental (Schwinger-Dyson) equations of QCD can be reformulated into the equivalent infinite chain of equations for the Wilson loops [4]. The chain of loop equations drastically simplifies at large number of colors N_c (i.e. when only planar Feynman graphs are taken into account) to a *single* closed equation known as the *Makeenko-Migdal* loop equation [5]. Eq. (1) is, therefore, just the Ansatz for a solution to the MM loop equation in terms of some string action S_{string} to be determined out of it. The main (yet unsolved) problems in a realization of this program in the past were (i) taking into account quantum renormalization in the MM equation, and (ii) determining the corresponding string action [6]. The first problem does not arise if one replaces QCD by the N=4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills (SYM) theory and considers the N=4 supersymmetric MM-type loop equation instead of the original (N=0) one, just because of the well-known fact that, being a scale invariant quantum field theory in 1+3 spacetime dimensions, the N=4 SYM does not renormalize at all. The recent Maldacena conjecture [7], claiming that the N=4 SYM theory is dual to the IIB superstring theory in the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background, can then be interpreted as the particular Ansatz $S_{\text{string}} = S_{IIB/AdS_5 \times S^5}$ for a solution to the N=4

super-MM loop equation in the form of eq. (1). Within the Maldacena conjecture, the (1+3)-dimensional spacetime is identified with the boundary of the anti-de-Sitter space AdS_5 , where

$$AdS_5 = \frac{SO(4, 2)}{SO(4, 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad S^5 = \frac{SO(6)}{SO(5)} , \quad (2)$$

while the coupling constants are related to the AdS_5 radius as follows [7]:

$$(\alpha')^{-2} R_{AdS}^4 \sim g_{YM}^2 N_c , \quad g_{string} \sim g_{YM}^2 . \quad (3)$$

The proposed duality is a strong-weak coupling duality:

- for small $\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N_c$ a perturbative SYM description applies,
- for large λ a perturbative IIB string/AdS supergravity description applies.

It is in agreement with the holographic proposal [8] since physics in the AdS_5 bulk is supposed to be encoded in terms of the field theory defined on the AdS_5 boundary. The quantum N=4 SYM theory is conformally invariant, while its rigid symmetry is given by the supergroup $SU(2, 2|4)$ that contains 32 supercharges. The isometries of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ form the group $SO(4, 2) \times SO(6) \cong SU(2, 2) \times SU(4)$ whose extension in the AdS supergravity is also given by $SU(2, 2|4)$. In addition, both the N=4 SYM and type-IIB superstrings are believed to be self-dual under the S-duality group $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$. In more practical terms, this CFT/AdS correspondence is just a one-to-one correspondence [9] between the N=4 SYM correlators and the correlators of the certain string theory whose action S_{string} is known and whose correlators can be computed, in principle, by the methods of two-dimensional conformal field theory [10]. Quantum corrections in powers of $(\alpha' \times \text{curvature})$ on the string theory side correspond to corrections in powers of $(g_{YM}^2 N_c)^{-1/2}$ on the gauge field theory side, while the string loop corrections are suppressed by powers of N_c^{-2} .

The close connection between IIB strings and N=4 SYM is also known to exist within the modern brane technology. The type-IIB supergravity (= the low-energy effective field theory of IIB strings) admits extended solitonic BPS-like classical solutions known as D-3-branes and D-strings (or ‘mesons’) [11]. These solutions can spontaneously break the conformal invariance in the non-perturbative N=4 SYM theory, and thus may be useful for a simulation of confinement. When N_c parallel and similarly oriented D-3-branes coincide, the low-energy effective field theory action in their common worldvolume appears to be the N=4 SYM with the gauge group $U(N_c)$ [12]. The brane picture thus provides a classical resolution to the non-perturbative N=4 SYM, in the very similar way as the M-theory 5-brane classical dynamics yields exact Seiberg-Witten-type solutions to N=2 supersymmetric quantum gauge field theories (see e.g., ref. [13] for a review). The 11-dimensional M-theory compactified on a 2-dimensional torus is known to be dual to the 10-dimensional type-IIB strings compactified on a circle [14], so that the relevant phenomenon is just the gauge symmetry enhancement for coincident D-branes alone. We would like to understand it from the field-theoretical point of view, and distinguish between those elements of this phenomenon that are of perturbative origin and those elements that are truly non-perturbative.

As an example, consider the case of two nearly coincident KK monopoles in M theory. The metric is essentially given by the 2-centre Taub-NUT metric (or, equivalently, the mixed Taub-NUT-Eguchi-Hanson metric) characterized by the harmonic potential [15]

$$H(\vec{y}) = \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{|\vec{y} - \xi \vec{e}|} + \frac{1}{|\vec{y} + \xi \vec{e}|} \right\} . \quad (4)$$

In the limit $\xi \rightarrow 0$ the homology 2-sphere connecting two KK monopoles contracts to a point. Since the energy of an M-2-brane wrapped about this 2-sphere is proportional to its area (of order ξ), a massless vector particle (= the zero-mode of the M-2-brane) appears. In the type-IIA picture, the ground states of the 6–6 strings connecting two D-6-branes become massless if the branes coincide. The net effect is called the non-abelian gauge symmetry enhancement: $U(1) \times U(1) \rightarrow U(2)$, and it is truly non-perturbative [16]. The gauge fields (and their supersymmetric partners) related to non-diagonal gauge symmetry generators are the ground states of strings connecting *different* D-6-branes, with the masses being proportional to the distance between the D-branes, whereas those related to the Cartan subalgebra generators appear as the massless ground states of the strings ending on *the same* D-6-brane. The existence of the massless ground states associated with Cartan subalgebra can be understood perturbatively, as a result of dynamical generation of massless vector supermultiplets in the (one-loop) quantum perturbation theory [17].

The effective field theory in the D-6-brane worldvolume dimensionally reduced to four dimensions includes the hyper-Kähler non-linear sigma-model (NLSM) for a self-interacting hypermultiplet, whose NLSM metric is dictated by the potential (4). This NLSM can be written down in harmonic superspace, in terms of two hypermultiplets q^{A+} , $A = 1, 2$, and the auxiliary N=2 vector superfield V^{++} as a Lagrange multiplier,

$$S_{\text{mixed}}[q^A, V^{++}] = \int_{\text{analytic}} \left\{ \bar{q}^{A+} D_Z^{++} q_A^+ + V^{++} \left(\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{AB} \bar{q}_A^+ q_B^+ + \xi^{++} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \lambda (\bar{q}^{A+} q_A^+)^2 \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where the Fayet-Iliopoulos term ($\sim \xi V$) has been introduced, while both hypermultiplets are supposed to have *different* masses, m_1 and m_2 , given by the N=2 central charge (Z) eigenvalues. Eq. (5) is the gauged NLSM over the non-compact coset space $SU(1, 1)/U(1)$ parametrized by two hypermultiplets. Near the core of D-6-branes the parameter λ becomes irrelevant, so that the NLSM target space looks like an ALE space with the Eguchi-Hanson metric. Setting $\lambda = 0$ in eq. (5) results in a formally renormalizable four-dimensional ‘linear’ NLSM, that allows us to integrate over the hypermultiplets in eq. (5). All one needs is the N=2 superfield hypermultiplet propagator in harmonic superspace [18],

$$i \langle q^+(1) \bar{q}^+(2) \rangle = -\frac{1}{\square_1^Z} (D_1^+)^4 (D_2^+)^4 \left\{ \delta^{12} (Z_1 - Z_2) \frac{e^{v_Z(2) - v_Z(1)}}{(u_1^+ u_2^+)^3} \right\}, \quad (6)$$

where $\square^Z$ is the Klein-Gordon operator, and v_Z is the co-called ‘bridge’ (see ref. [18] for details). It is now straightforward to calculate the one-loop gauge effective action $i \text{Tr} \log(\mathcal{D}_{Z,V}^{++})$ in the low-energy approximation [17]. We find that the N=2 vector supermultiplet, introduced in the classical action (5) as the Lagrange multiplier (without a kinetic term), becomes dynamical in quantum theory due to a dynamical generation of its kinetic term

$$S_{\text{induced}}[V^{++}] = -\frac{1}{2e_{\text{ind}}^2(p)} \int_{\text{chiral}} W^2 + \text{h.c.}, \quad (7)$$

where we have introduced the standard N=2 superfield strength $W = \int du (\bar{D}^-)^2 V^{++}$. The induced (momentum-dependent) gauge coupling constant appears to be [17]

$$\frac{1}{e_{\text{ind}}^2(p)} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \ln \frac{m_2^2 + p^2 x(1-x)}{m_1^2 + p^2 x(1-x)} = \frac{1}{e_0^2} + O(p^2/m^2). \quad (8)$$

The N=2 central charge providing masses to the hypermultiplets can, therefore, be understood as the origin of the induced gauge coupling (8).

A generalization to higher unitary groups is straightforward [17]. The Hooft limit of large λ appears to be equivalent to $|\xi/Z^2| \rightarrow 0$ in our approach. The orthogonal gauge groups may also be considered by introducing orientifolds and the Atiyah-Hitchin metric in M-theory.

References

- [1] G. t'Hooft, contributed to the Proceedings of the EPS Intern. Conference in Palermo, Italy, 23–28 June, 1975; in ‘High Energy Physics’, Vol. 1, ed. by A. Zichichi, Bologna, 1976
- [2] S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rep. **23** (1976) 245
- [3] A. M. Polyakov, *Gauge Fields and Strings*, Harwood Academic Publishers, Chur, Switzerland, 1987; *The wall of the cave*, hep-th/9809057
- [4] A. Migdal, Phys. Rep. **102** (1983) 199
- [5] Y. Makeenko and A. Migdal, Nucl. Phys. **B188** (1981) 269
- [6] A. Migdal, private communication
- [7] J. Maldacena, *The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, hep-th/9711200
- [8] L. Susskind, *The world as a hologram*, hep-th/9409089
- [9] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. **428B** (1998) 105
- [10] S. V. Ketov, *Conformal Field Theory*, Singapore, World Scientific, 1996
- [11] G. T. Horowitz and A. Strominger, Nucl. Phys. **B360** (1991) 197
- [12] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B460** (1996) 335
- [13] S. V. Ketov, Analytic tools to brane technology, hep-th/9806009
- [14] J. H. Schwarz, Phys. Lett. **360B** (1995) 13, *ibid.* **364** (1995) 252
- [15] P. K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. **350B** (1995) 184
- [16] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. **B463** (1996) 55
- [17] S. V. Ketov, *Dynamical generation of vector and Higgs bosons in $N=2$ supersymmetric non-linear sigma-models*, hep-th/9808187
- [18] E. A. Ivanov, S. V. Ketov and B. M. Zupnik, Nucl. Phys. **B509** (19998) 53.